



## RDA Scoring Guidelines Chart

This chart provides you with information about how RDA Board members score proposals. The top score is a 60.

### Proposal Strength (Based on responses in the Proposal Description section)

Points	10 - 9	8 - 7	6 - 5	4 - 3	2 - 1
<b>Proposal is a good fit with RDA's mission</b>	Proposal clearly describes the challenge/opportunity, addresses very important community issues, has a strong fit with RDA's mission, and includes clear plans and goals.		Average description of challenge/opportunity, addresses important community issues, has a good fit with the RDA mission, and includes clear plans and goals.		Proposals that do not clearly describe the challenge/opportunity, address less important community issues, do not have a clear fit with the RDA mission, and has unclear plans and goals.

Responses are rated on a scale from "10" to "1", with "10" being the highest score.

### Proposal Scope (Found in the Proposal Scope section)

Each Proposal Scope Level can score up to 3 points, for up to a total of 15 points in this section. Scores for each scope area depend on how strong the description is to support each scope level. Scope areas that are not addressed score "0".

Points	3	2	1
<b>Reach</b>	Strongest Reach	Good Reach	Unclear or Low Reach

Points	3	2	1
<b>Leverage</b>	Strongest Leverage	Good Leverage	Unclear or Low Leverage

Points	3	2	1
<b>Collaboration</b>	Strongest Collaboration	Good Collaboration	Unclear or Low Collaboration

Points	3	2	1
<b>Volunteer Engagement</b>	Strongest Volunteerism	Good Volunteerism	Unclear or Low Volunteerism

Points	3	2	1
<b>Economic Impact</b>	Strongest Economic Impact	Good Economic Impact	Unclear or Low Economic Impact

Points	10 - 9	8 - 7	6 - 5	4 - 3	2 - 1
<b>Overall Strength of Proposal's Scope</b>	Strongest combination of scope items – best coverage of how scope items will make a difference		Good overall scope of impact for the community		Unclear or limited impact of overall scope items



**Proposal Impact** (Found in Proposal Impact Section)

Three scores in this section include inclusiveness, sustainability, and overall impact.

**Inclusiveness**

Points	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposal prioritizes being inclusive of minority and disadvantaged people – includes effective plans for how to be inclusive		Proposal moderately inclusive with some planning for how to actively include minority and/or disadvantaged people		Proposal weak on inclusiveness

Responses are rated on a scale from “5” to “1”, with “5” being the highest score.

**Sustainability**

Points	5	4	3	2	1
	Proposal presents strong sustainability - highly stable funding support, strong ideas for expanding revenue streams, and/or developing new partnerships to align resources		Proposal addresses sustainability, but not as strongly		Proposal weak on sustainability

Responses are rated on a scale from “5” to “1”, with “5” being the highest score.

**Overall Impact**

Points	10 - 9	8 - 7	6 - 5	4 - 3	2 - 1
<b>Overall Community Impact</b>	Exciting potential to build stronger community; Strong long-term impact; High Scott County involvement		Good potential to build stronger community; Average long-term impact; Moderate Scott County involvement		Limited apparent impact for community; Low Scott County involvement

Responses are rated on a scale from “10” to “1”, with “10” being the highest score.

**Proposal Budget** (Found in Documents to Attach Section)

Budget document uploaded to application.

**Project Budget**

Points	5	4	3	2	1
	Budget clearly describes use of dollars and shows highly effective use of dollars		Budget understandable and dollars used appropriately		Budget not clear on how dollars will be used to complete project

Responses are rated on a scale from “5” to “1”, with “5” being the highest score.