



## RDA Scoring Guidelines Chart

This chart provides you with information about how RDA Board members score proposals. The top score is a 50.

### Proposal Strength (Based on responses in the Proposal Description section)

| Points   | 10 - 9   | 8 - 7 | 6 - 5  | 4 - 3 | 2 - 1  |
|--|--|-------|--|-------|--|
| <b>Proposal is a good fit with RDA's mission</b> | Proposal clearly describes the challenge/opportunity, addresses very important community issues, has a strong fit with the RDA mission, and strong outcomes. |       | Average description of challenge/opportunity, addresses important community issues, has a good fit with the RDA mission, and clear outcomes. |       | Proposals that do not clearly describe the challenge/opportunity, address less important community issues, do not have a clear fit with the RDA mission, and unclear outcomes. |

Responses are rated on a scale from "10" to "1", with "10" being the highest score.

### Proposal Scope (Found in the Proposal Scope section)

Each Proposal Scope Level can score up to 3 points, for up to a total of 15 points in this section. Scores for each scope area depend on how strong the description is to support each scope level. Scope areas that are not addressed score "0".

| Points       | 3                         | 2                        | 1                        |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Reach</b> | Over 1,000 people benefit | 100-1,000 people benefit | Under 100 people benefit |

| Points          | 3               | 2              | 1               |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <b>Leverage</b> | Over 200% match | 50%-200% match | Under 50% match |

| Points               | 3   | 2  | 1   |
|----------------------|---|--|---|
| <b>Collaboration</b> | Builds collaborative capacities for community | Meaningfully uses partnering to achieve the outcomes | No use of partnering to achieve the outcome |

| Points                      | 3                      | 2                           | 1                            |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| <b>Volunteer Engagement</b> | 50+ volunteers engaged | Up to 50 volunteers engaged | Limited volunteer engagement |

| Points                 | 3                                      | 2                           | 1                     |
|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| <b>Economic Impact</b> | More than \$500,000 of economic impact | \$50,000 - \$500,000 impact | Under \$50,000 impact |



**Proposal Impact** (Found in Proposal Impact Section)

Three scores in this section include inclusiveness, sustainability, and overall impact.

**Inclusiveness**

| Points | 5  | 4 | 3   | 2 | 1                              |
|--------|--|---|---|---|--------------------------------|
|        | Proposal prioritizes being inclusive of minority and disadvantaged people – includes effective plans for how to be inclusive |   | Proposal moderately inclusive with some planning for how to actively include minority and/or disadvantaged people |   | Proposal weak on inclusiveness |

Responses are rated on a scale from “5” to “1”, with “5” being the highest score.

**Sustainability**

| Points | 5  | 4 | 3  | 2 | 1                               |
|--------|--|---|--|---|---------------------------------|
|        | Proposal presents strong sustainability - highly stable funding support, strong ideas for expanding revenue streams, and/or developing new partnerships to align resources |   | Proposal addresses sustainability, but not as strongly |   | Proposal weak on sustainability |

Responses are rated on a scale from “5” to “1”, with “5” being the highest score.

**Overall Impact**

| Points                          | 10 - 9   | 8 - 7 | 6 - 5   | 4 - 3 | 2 - 1   |
|---------------------------------|--|-------|---|-------|---|
| <b>Overall Community Impact</b> | Exciting potential to build stronger community; Strong long-term impact; High Scott County involvement |       | Good potential to build stronger community; Average long-term impact; Moderate Scott County involvement |       | Limited apparent impact for community; Low Scott County involvement |

Responses are rated on a scale from “10” to “1”, with “10” being the highest score.

**Proposal Budget** (Found in Documents to Attach Section)

Budget document uploaded to application.

**Project Budget**

| Points | 5   | 4 | 3  | 2 | 1  |
|--------|---|---|--|---|--|
|        | Budget clearly describes use of dollars and shows highly effective use of dollars |   | Budget understandable and dollars used appropriately |   | Budget not clear on how dollars will be used to complete project |

Responses are rated on a scale from “5” to “1”, with “5” being the highest score.